



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/444,034	11/19/1999	RAMAKRISHNA PATTIKONDA	1152-0009	8104
75	590 12/28/2004		EXAM	INER
Steven W. Smith			LAROSE, COLIN M	
840 Central Par Suite 120	kway East		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBE	
Plano, TX 750	074		2623	
			DATE MAILED: 12/28/200	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/444,034	PATTIKONDA ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Colin M. LaRose	2623				
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap						
Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statuf. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin ply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day it will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from te, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 04 October 2004.						
, ,						
3) Since this application is in condition for allows						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 29-41 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) Claim(s) 29-41 is/are rejected.  7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documer 2. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in Applicati ority documents have been receive au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
<ul> <li>2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ul>	Paper No(s)/Mail D  5) Notice of Informal F  6) Other:	ate Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 2623

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Arguments and Amendments

1. Applicant's amendments filed 4 October 2004, have been entered and made of record.

## Response to Amendments

2. Claims 29-41 were previously indicated as allowable but are now rejected in view of the new matter issues addressed below.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 3. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 4. Claims 29-41 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

Claim 40 calls for strobing a coherent light source at a predetermined exposure time, wherein the exposure time is "a function of the spacing between the individual lines of the plurality of lines" formed by the coherent light source. This feature was previously introduced as new claim 28 in the Amendment filed 14 July 2003, and does not appear to be supported by the original disclosure or its accompanying claims.

Application/Control Number: 09/444,034

Art Unit: 2623

Page 8, lines 8-11 of the original Specification teaches that, "lasers are strobed at a predetermined rate, so that both time-multiplexing and color-multiplexing can be used to separate the laser signal from the visual light signals generated by the green light and the blue light." However, there is no mention of the exposure time of the strobed light being "a function of the spacing between the individual lines of the plurality of lines" formed by the coherent light source. Strobing is also mentioned on page 10 of the Specification, but the limitation of interest is not disclosed therein.

Examiner requests that the Applicant show support in the original disclosure for the feature of the strobe's exposure time being "a function of the spacing between the individual lines of the plurality of lines" formed by the coherent light source, in order to overcome the above rejection under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph.

There is also a question as to whether claims 36, 37, and 41 are supported by the original disclosure and accompanying claims. Examiner requests that the Applicant show support for the limitations of these claims in addition to that of claim 40.

#### Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claims 29-41 are allowable if the above rejection under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph is overcome by a sufficient show of support in the original disclosure and accompanying claims.

The reasons for allowance are:

Application/Control Number: 09/444,034

Art Unit: 2623

Regarding claim 40, U.S. Patent 5,495,337 by Goshorn et al. discloses all of the limitations of the claim, except the exposure time of the strobe "being a function of the spacing between the individual lines of the plurality of lines."

Goshorn discloses a strobe control unit 92 (figure 8A) that controls the strobe of the lasers 20 and 22. However, Goshorn is silent to the strobe controlled so as to be a function of the spacing between the individual lines of the plurality of lines, as claimed.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Colin M. LaRose whose telephone number is (703) 306-3489. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Amelia Au, can be reached on (703) 308-6604. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the TC 2600 Customer Service Office whose telephone number is (703) 306-0377.

**CML** 

Group Art Unit 2623

22 December 2004

PRIMARY **EXAMINE**